

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,848 08/21/2003		Shou-Te Yu	YUSH3006/EM	6155	
23364 7	590 08/16/2006		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			BELT, SAMUEL E		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3746		
		DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/644,848	YU, SHOU-TE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel E. Belt	3746		

		Odilidel E. Bell	0.10	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>05 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ Ti th pl a tir	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Non Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliange periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).		
have beo under 37 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of export of the control of the cont	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
fil a	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause .
	They raise new issues that would require further co			
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	
(c	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(c) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	l 16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 1	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a con-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
h T	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) bow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:			
C	laim(s) objected to:			
Č	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attact	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

Applicant now relies on newly added limitations in claim 1 regarding the specific orientation of the rotational plane defined by the fan blades with respect to the planes defined by the radiator fins. The amendment if entered would change the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration. (Also for clarification purposes the amendment may raise 112 2nd paragraph issues as to which of the two side surfaces, that each radiation fin has, the applicant is defining the inclination or the rotational plane by.)

0.2.0.

ANTHONY D. STASHICK PRIMARY EXAMINER